

Council meeting October 9th 2024

Re item 9a

I believe that Dickinson road is scheduled to be rebuilt. I question why someone would support building a walkway that could end up being wiped out when Dickinson road is reconstructed.

A "semi-rural trail" doesn't seem to make sense in any residential area. This is not a trail in the woodlot or the far reaches of the Foothills. What happens to people who have mobility issues who may require a smooth hard service?

I suspect that this is all to avoid building a proper storm sewer. Who has decided that the north side of the road is the appropriate location for a walkway? Are there not some engineering drawings somewhere? I hope we do not end up wasting tax payers' money.

Suddenly, this is high priority? We have seen a suggested trail on Lantzville Road be proposed for one side, and then switched to the other side. Why?

Perhaps Dickinson Road rebuild should be the priority rather than a trail that doesn't seem to be needed on Lantzville Road.

The drainage ditch on Dickinson Road needs to be dealt with, as does the storm water that comes across private property and crosses Dickinson Road. I suggest we do it right or do nothing at all.

I have seen many walkways constructed over new utility infrastructure. Dickinson Road is not semi-rural. Isn't a hard surface asphalt walkway the minimum standard in a residential area?